JC10 Bedd PCITEID _0 3 JAN 2002 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NO. FORM PCT 1390 REV. 5/93 EBERLE ET AL - 4 (PCT) TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES U.S. APPLICATION NO. (if known, see 37 CFR 1.5) DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US) CONCERNING A FILING UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371 INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED ·PCT/EP 00/05519 JUNE 15, 2000 JULY 8, 1999 PROCESS FOR PRODUCING MONOLITHIC OXIDATION CATALYSTS AND THEIR TITLE OF INVENTION USE IN THE GAS-PHASE OXIDATION OF HYDROCARBONS APPLICANT(S) FOR DOJEOJUS HANS-JÜRGEN EBERLE, OLAF HELMER, KARL-HEINZ STOCKSIEFEN, DR. STEFAN TRINKHAUS, ULRICH WECKER, NORBERT ZEITLER Applicant herewith submits to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the following items and other information: X This is a **FIRST** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371. This is a SECOND or SUBSEQUENT submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371. This is an express request to begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. 371 (f)) at any time rather than delay 3. examination until the expiration of the applicable time limit set in 35 U.S.C. 371(b) and PCT Articles 22 and 39(l). X A proper Demand for International Preliminary Examination was made by the 19th month from the earliest claimed priority date. 5. A copy of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2) a. X is transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau) b. ___ has been transmitted by the International Bureau. c. ____ is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Receiving Office (RO/US). X A translation of the International Application into English (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)). Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)). are transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau). b. ____ have been transmitted by the International Bureau. c. ___ have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has NOT expired. have not been made and will not be made. A translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)). An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4)). 10. A translation of the annexes to the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(5)). Items 11. to 16. below concern other document(s) or information included: 11. An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

- 12. ____ An assignment document for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 CFR 3.28 and 3.31 is included.
- 13. X A FIRST preliminary amendment.
 - A SECOND or SUBSEQUENT preliminary amendment.
- 14. A substitute specification.
- 15. ____ A change of power of attorney and/or address letter.
- 16. X Other items or information:

PCT/ISA/210 - Int'l. Search Report (English)

Applicant Claims Priority under 35 U.S.C. §119 of Germany (country) Application No. 199 31 902.2 filed July 8, 1999. Applicant Claims Priority under 35 U.S.C. §120 of: PCT No. PCT/EP00/05519, filed June 15, 2000.

| ************************************** | | | | 531 Rec'd | 03 JAN 2002 |
|--|--|---|-------------------------|--|--|
| APPLICATION NO. (if known, see 37 CFR 1.5) 10/030614 | | | | INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO PCT/EP 00/05519 | ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NO. EBERLE ET AL-4-PCT |
| X The following | X The following fees are submitted: | | | CALCULATIONS | PTO USE ONLY |
| | (37 CFR 1.492(a)(1)-(5)): | | | | İ |
| Search Report has been | en prepared by the EPO or | ло, | | | |
| | nary examination fee paid to | | | | |
| Neither international preliminary examination fee paid (37 CFR 1.82) nor international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO | | | | | |
| _ International prelimin | nary examination fee paid to | o USPTO (37 CFR 1.482) | | | |
| aad all claims satishe | • | e 33(2)-(4) \$100. RIATE BASIC FEE AMO | | ± 000.00 | |
| | ENIERAIIROI | KIATE DASIC FEE AND | | \$ 890.00 | |
| | or furnishing the oath or dec claimed priority date (37 C | claration later than 20 CFR 1.492(e)). | 30 | ļ | |
| Claims | Number Filed | Number Extra | Rate | | 7 |
| Total Claims | 21 - 20 = | -1- | X \$18.00 | \$ 18.00 | |
| Independent Claims | 2- 3 = | - 0 - | X \$80.00 | \$ | |
| Multiple dependent cl | laim(s) (if applicable) | | + \$270.00 | \$ | |
| | TOTAL OF A | ABOVE CALCULATION | S = | \$ 908.00 | |
| Reduction by 1/2 for Sm | | | | \$ | |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | \$ 908.00 | |
| | | SUBTOTAL = | | \$ 908.00 | |
| | 0 for furnishing the English claimed priority date (37 C | h translation later than 2 CFR 1.492(f)). | 2030 | \$ | |
| | TO' | TAL NÁTIONAL FEE = | | \$ 908.00 | |
| | | 1.21(h)) The assignment r R 3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 per p | | \$ | |
| | тс | OTAL FEES ENCLOSED | = | \$ 908 00 | |
| | | . : | | Amount to be: | |
| | | | | refunded | \$ |
| · | | | | charged | \$ |
| a. X A check i b. Please che copy of the | copy of this sheet is enclosed. | | | | |
| | | limit under 37 CFR i | | not been met, a petition to r | evive (37 CFR 1.137(a) or |
| CENT ALL CODD | ESPONDENCE TO: | | 20 | 100 | |
| COLLARD & ROE | | 1 1 1 | du | rever folk | inke |
| 1077 Northern Bou | · · | , | | Signature | |
| Roslyn, New York | | | | | |
| (516) 365-9802 | | | Edward R. I | | - |
| TO BE MENT. | TT 071461166110 | | Reg. No. 26 | ,048 | - |
| Express Mail No. Date of Deposit | | + | | | |
| | | ; a denosited with the Ut | nited States Postal Ser | vice "Express Mail Post Office to | o Addressee" service under |
| | | | | and Trademark Office P.O. Box | |

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT:

EBERLE ET AL - 4 (PCT)

PCT No.:

PCT/EP 00/05519

FILED:

JUNE 15, 2000

TITLE:

PROCESS FOR PRODUCING MONOLITHIC OXIDATION

CATALYSTS AND THEIR USE IN THE GAS-PHASE OXIDATION

OF HYDROCARBONS

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

BOX PCT U.S.P.T.O. P.O. Box 2327 Arlington, VA 22202

Dear Sir:

Preliminary to Examination, please amend the aboveidentified application as follows:

IN THE ABSTRACT

Please insert the Abstract attached hereto on its own separate page.

IN THE SPECIFICATION

On Page 1, below the title, and above line 5, please insert as follows:

-- CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

Applicant claims priority under 35 U.S.C. §119 of German Application No. 199 31 902.2 filed July 8, 1999. Applicant also claims priority under 35 U.S.C. §120 of PCT/EP00/05519 filed June 15, 2000. The international application under PCT article 21(2) was not published in English.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field of the Invention --

On Page 1, between lines 7 and 8, please insert:

--2. The Prior Art--

On page 4, between lines 6 and 23, please cancel the following paragraph:

"AT-A 9201926 describes a process for preparing phthalic anhydride in a main reactor having a downstream adiabatic reaction zone. Here, it is expressly stated that, for economic reasons, it is advantageous for the adiabatic reactor to be connected directly to the main reactor. Apart from the use of catalyst base bodies in the form of rings or spheres, mention is also made of the possible use of a catalyst having a monolithic structure, for example in the form of a honeycomb. In the reaction procedure described, this measure enables the yield of phthalic anhydride to be increased by about 1% by weight. The

formation of the by-product phthalide is at the same time significantly reduce. The use of the monolithic catalyst shape mentioned is not described in any example. Likewise, no details are given of the production of a monolithic catalyst and associated problems."

On page 5, between lines 21 and 22, please insert:

--SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION--

On Page 5, please cancel the last paragraph in lines 30 to 36, and please replace by inserting the following paragraph:

--The invention provides a process for producing monolithic supported catalysts for gas-phase oxidation by coating the catalyst support by means of a suspension, wherein the latter comprises catalytically active composition comprising one or more types of TiO₂ and 1-10% by weight of one or more surfactants of the formula

 $R_n Y_m X --$

On page 10, between lines 17 and 18, please insert:
--DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS--

A Marked-Up Version of Pages 1, 4, 5, and 10 is enclosed.

IN THE CLAIMS:

Please cancel claims 1 to 22 without prejudice, and please replace by inserting new claims 23 to 43 to as follows:

23. A process for producing monolithic supported catalysts for gas-phase oxidation comprising

coating a catalyst support with a suspension,

wherein the suspension comprises catalytically active composition comprising at least one type of ${\rm Ti}\,0_2$ and 1-10% by weight of at least one surfactant of the formula

$R_n Y_m X$

where R is a hydrophobic group of the surfactant and n is 1, 2 or 3; Y is a hydrophilic group of the surfactant and m is 0, 1, 2 or 3, and X is a hydrophilic head group of the surfactant; and the percent by weight is based upon the total weight of the composition.

24. The process as claimed in claim 23,

wherein the surfactant comprises from 2 to 5% by weight based upon the total weight.

25. The process as claimed in claim 23,

wherein the head group X present in the surfactant is a functional group selected from the group consisting of

carboxylate, polycarboxylate, phosphate, phosphonate, sulfate and sulfonate.

26. The process as claimed in claim 25,

wherein at least one of the functional groups of the head group X is selected from the group consisting of free acid group, ammonium salt, and alkaline earth metal salt.

27. The process as claimed in claim 23,

wherein the hydrophilic group Y is bound to a central atom of the head group X either directly or via an oxygen.

28. The process as claimed in claim 23,

wherein the hydrophobic group R of the surfactant used is bound to the head group X via a hydrophilic group Y.

29. The process as claimed in claim 23,

wherein hydrophobic groups R of the surfactant used are selected from the group consisting of saturated alkyl radicals with carbon building blocks having from 5 to 30 carbon atoms, unsaturated alkyl radicals with carbon building blocks having from 5 to 30 carbon atoms; and

branched alkyl radicals with carbon building blocks having from 5 to 30 carbon atoms and are bound either directly or

via aryl groups to a group selected from the group consisting of the hydrophilic group Y and the head group X.

- 30. The process as claimed in claim 23,
 wherein the hydrophilic group Y of the surfactants used
 comprises polymeric alkoxy units whose degree of polymerization
 is from 1 to 50 monomer units.
 - 31. The process as claimed in claim 23,

wherein said at least one surfactant is selected from the group consisting of calcium alkylarylsulfonate, alkylphenol ethoxylate, ammonium alkylarylsulfonate, calcium dodecylbenzenesulfonate, polyethoxy (dinonyl phenyl ether phosphate), polyoxoethylene (lauryl ether phosphate), polyethoxy (tridecyl ether phosphate), calcium dodecylbenzenesulfonate, tridecyl phosphate ester, ethoxylated phosphated alcohol, alkyl polyoxyethylene ether phosphate, and ammonium nonyl phenyl ether sulfate.

- 32. The process as claimed in claim 23, $\text{wherein the catalytically active composition further } \\ \text{comprises } V_2O_5 \text{ as an additional component.}$
 - 33. The process as claimed in claim 23,

wherein the catalytically active composition comprises promoters.

34. The process as claimed in claim 23,

wherein the catalyst support used is at least one material selected from the group consisting of cordierite, silicate, silicon dioxide, silicon carbide, aluminum oxide, aluminate, metal and metal alloy.

35. The process as claimed in claim 23,

wherein a catalyst support body used is selected from the group consisting of a honeycomb, a support having open crosschannel structure, a support having closed cross channel structure, and mixtures thereof.

- 36. The process as claimed in claim 35, wherein wherein the catalyst support body used is a honeycomb having a cell density of a number of channels, of from 100 to 400 csi (cells per square inch).
- 37. A method for preparing phthalic anhydride comprising a gas-phase oxidation reaction of o-xylene by contacting said o-xylene with a monolithic supported catalyst obtainable by the process as claimed in claim 23 in an adiabatic reactor in combination with an isothermally operated reactor.

- 38. A method for preparing phthalic anhydride comprising a gas-phase oxidation reaction of naphthalene by contacting said naphthalene with a monolithic supported catalyst obtainable by the process as claimed in claim 23 in an adiabatic reactor in combination with an isothermally operated reactor.
- 39. A method for preparing phthalic anhydride comprising a gas-phase oxidation reaction of a mixture of o-xylene and naphthalene by contacting said mixture of o-xylene and naphthalene with a monolithic supported catalyst obtainable by the process as claimed in claim 23 in an adiabatic reactor in combination with an isothermally operated reactor.
- 40. The method as claimed in claim 37,

 wherein the adiabatic reactor has upstream gas cooling
 in combination with an isothermally operated reactor.
- 41. The method as claimed in claim 37,

 wherein the adiabatic reactor has upstream gas cooling,

 where gas cooling and the reaction are carried out in a joint

 apparatus, in combination with an isothermally operated reactor.
 - 42. The method as claimed in claim 37,

wherein the adiabatic reactor has upstream gas cooling and downstream gas cooling, where gas cooling and the reaction are carried out in a joint apparatus, in combination with an isothermally operated reactor.

43. A catalyst comprising

a catalyst support coated with a suspension; and said suspension comprises a catalytically active composition comprising

at least one type of ${\rm Ti0_2}$ and 1-10% by weight of at least one surfactant of the formula

 $R_n Y_m X$

where R is a hydrophobic group of the surfactant and n is 1, 2 or 3; Y is a hydrophilic group of the surfactant and m is 0, 1, 2 or 3, and X is a hydrophilic head group of the surfactant; and

the percent by weight is based upon the total composition weight.

REMARKS

By this Preliminary Amendment, the Specification has been amended to include a cross-reference to related applications which has been inserted in page 1. Also pages 1, 5, and 10 have been amended to recite the Specification section headings required by U.S. practice. In addition, pages 4 and 5 of the U.S. Specification have been amended to conform to the changes made in the International Office.

The amendments to the claims are to cancel the originally filed claims 1 to 22 without prejudice, and to replace these claims with new claims 23 to 43. New claims 23 to 43 eliminate the multiple dependency of the claims, so as to avoid the U.S.P.T.O. surcharge therefor. Also claims 23 to 43 have been written so as to comply with U.S. formal requirements. Claims 23 to 43 correspond to the amended claims filed in the International Office.

An Abstract of the Disclosure on its own separate page is enclosed.

No new matter has been introduced by this amendment. Entry of this amendment is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

EBERLE ET AL - 4 (PCT)

Allison C. Collard, Reg. No. 22,532 Edward R. Freedman, Reg. No. 26,048

Attorneys for Applicant

COLLARD & ROE, P.C. 1077 Northern Boulevard Roslyn, New York 11576 (516) 365-9802

ERF/mt

Enclosure: (1) Abstract of the Disclosure;

(2) Marked-Up Version of Amended Specification pages 1, 4, 5 and 10;

EXPRESS MAIL # EL 871 451 155 US DATE: January 3, 2002

I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10, on the date indicated above, and is addressed to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Box PCT, P.O. Box 2327, Arlington, VA_22202.

ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

A method is for producing monolithic substrate catalysts and for their use in the gas phase oxidation of hydrocarbons. The catalysts are obtained by coating the catalyst substrate with a suspension that includes a catalytically active compound and one or more surfactants of the general formula $R_n Y_m X$. R represents the hydrophobic part of the surfactant, with n being 1, 2 or 3. Y represents the hydrophilic part of the surfactant, with m being 0, 1, 2 or 3 and X represents the hydrophilic head group of the surfactant.

10010/03061400 Pac

31 Rec'd PC: 03 JAN 2002 1

WO 01/03832

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

Process for producing monolithic oxidation catalysts and their use in the gas-phase oxidation of hydrocarbons

The invention relates to a process for producing monolithic oxidation catalysts and to their use in the gas-phase oxidation of hydrocarbons.

Supported catalysts for the gas-phase oxidation of hydrocarbons to give the corresponding oxidation such as carboxylic acids, products carboxylic which anhydrides or aldehydes, catalysts have catalytically active surface coating consisting essentially of titanium dioxide (TiO2) and divanadium pentoxide (V_2O_5) , have been known for a long time. A typical example of the use of such catalysts is the preparation of phthalic anhydride, in which mixtures of o-xylene and air or naphthalene and air or o-xylene, naphthalene and air are passed over an appropriate shell-and-tube reactor. The catalyst in a generated in this strongly exothermic reaction (cooling, customarily removed isothermal conditions) by means of a salt melt which surrounds the reaction tubes.

The supported catalysts used here comprise an inert support body, for example having a ring shape or a spherical shape, on which the actual catalytically active composition is present. The active composition consists predominantly of the main components TiO2 in the anatase form and V_2O_5 . To improve the control of the activity and to improve the selectivity, further activating or deactivating additives, for example oxides of transition elements or alkali compounds, are frequently added in small amounts as catalytically dopants (promoters) to the composition.

The supported catalysts are generally produced by spraying aqueous suspensions or aqueous solutions of TiO_2 and V_2O_5 , frequently with addition of promoters and

possibly binders for improving adhesion of the active components to the support, onto the support bodies.

As support bodies, use is made of regularly shaped, mechanically stable bodies such as spheres, rings, half rings, saddles, etc., particularly preferably rings or spheres. The size of the support bodies is determined predominantly by the dimensions of the reactor, especially by the internal diameter of the reaction tubes.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

Support materials used are, for example, steatite, Duranit, earthenware, silicon dioxide, silicon carbide, aluminates, metals and metal alloys.

EP-A 744214 (US-A 5792719) discloses a procedure for producing catalysts in which TiO_2 , V_2O_5 , SiC and possibly dopants such as $CsCO_3$ and $(NH_4)_2HPO_4$ are stirred in aqueous suspension for a number of hours, and the suspension is subsequently admixed with an organic binder. This suspension is sprayed onto the support material and the supported catalyst is dried.

In industry, it is customary for each of the reaction tubes to be filled with various catalysts which have different catalytically active compositions. These can be arranged, for example, in two superposed zones, an upper zone and a lower zone. This measure makes it possible to match the activity of the catalyst system in the reactor appropriately to the course of the reaction.

During the reaction, the major part of hydrocarbon is reacted in the upper part of the reaction tube. As a result, the highest temperatures inevitably also occur there. In the lower part of the tube, only a sort of after-reaction takes place. There, remaining o-xylene/naphthalene and intermediates, for example o-tolualdehyde and phthalide, are converted into phthalic anhydride. Furthermore, by-products such as quinones are also oxidized further.

As a result of aging processes, all catalysts lose activity as the time for which they have been used

This occurs predominantly in the main increases. reaction zone, since this is where the catalyst is subject to the highest temperatures. During the life of the catalyst, the main reaction zone migrates ever further into the catalyst bed. This steadily decreases the length of the remaining catalyst bed and adversely after-reaction. As а consequence, the affects intermediates and by-products can no longer be reacted completely and the product quality of the phthalic anhydride produced therefore deteriorates increasing extent. An aging process is particularly critical in the case of high feed loadings. Although the fall-off in the reaction and thus the deterioration in product quality can be countered by increasing the reaction temperature, for example by means of the salt bath temperature, but only to a temperature of about 400°C, this temperature increase is always associated with a loss in yield.

10

15

20

25

30

35

DE-A 1793267 (GB-A 1274471) describes a process for preparing phthalic anhydride, in which the overall oxidative reaction is divided in process engineering terms into two parts. The reaction is controlled so that the reaction conditions in the second part, known are significantly after-reaction, the in the first part. This can be aggressive than achieved, for example, by carrying out the afterreaction without cooling, i.e. adiabatically. This after-reaction can be carried out in a separate reactor having different tube dimensions or even downstream shaft oven.

DE-A 2005969 describes a process for preparing phthalic anhydride, in which from about 80 to 99% of the total feed is reacted isothermally, i.e. cooled, in the main reaction. Conversion of the remaining unreacted feed occurs in a downstream adiabatic reactor. In addition, in the reaction procedure described, the gas mixture leaving the isothermal reactor is cooled further before it enters the

downstream adiabatic reactor. This process variant is likewise intended to enable the phthalic anhydride formed to be obtained largely free of by-products and without a loss in yield. Here too, a shaft oven is claimed as adiabatic reactor.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

AT-A 9201926 describes a process for preparing in a main reactor having phthalic anhydride downstream adiabatic reaction Here, it is zone. expressly stated that, for economic reasons, advantageous for the adiabatic reactor to be connected directly to the main reactor. Apart from the use of catalyst base bodies in the form of rings or spheres, mention is also made of the possible use of a catalyst having a monolithic structure, for example in the form of a honeycomb. In the reaction procedure described, this measure enables the yield of phthalic anhydride to be increased by about 1% by weight. The formation of at same by-product phthalide is the the monolithic The use of significantly reduced. catalyst shape mentioned is not described in details no are given example. Likewise, production of a monolithic catalyst and associated problems.

laminar flow occurring the Owing to honeycomb catalysts, they have only a very low pressure drop even at very high gas velocities. However, disadvantage is that, owing to the lack of turbulent flow resulting from the shape, heat and mass transfer in the honeycomb channels, and thus heat removal, are greatly reduced. This situation makes use of honeycomb catalysts as catalyst supports virtually impossible for strongly exothermic processes in conjunction with a selective oxidation. Honeycomb catalysts have therefore become established industrially only in waste gas purification or waste gas incineration where all the organic constituents undergo total oxidation to CO_2 .

Coating monolithic support material with a catalytically active composition comprising the main

constituents TiO_2 , V_2O_5 and possibly dopants by generally known methods, for example a dipping process, is found to be impractical. This is because coating suspensions based on commercially available TiO_2 have a very high viscosity even at solids concentrations of 30-35% by weight and thus make coating of the channels of a monolithic support material virtually impossible without blocking the channels.

In order to coat monolithic catalyst supports 10 the necessary amount of catalytically active composition, for example 50-150 g of active composition per liter of catalyst, the coating process would have to be carried out with such a low-concentration "active composition" suspension that the necessary thickness would be achieved only after repeating the 15 coating process a number of times. However, this at the same time once again increases the problem of blocking of the channels in the catalyst support because of the multiple coating steps. Furthermore, this is associated with significantly more work and thus with increased 20 costs and is therefore uneconomical.

It is therefore an object of the invention to provide a simple and preferably single-stage process for producing monolithic catalysts based on $\text{TiO}_2/\text{metal}$ oxides.

25

It has now surprisingly been found that the viscosity of highly concentrated ${\rm TiO_2}$ coating suspensions having a high solids content can be greatly reduced by addition of surfactants.

The invention provides a process for producing monolithic supported catalysts for gas-phase oxidation by coating the catalyst support by means of a suspension, wherein the latter comprises catalytically active composition and one or more surfactants of the formula

where R is the hydrophobic part(s) of the surfactant and n is 1, 2 or 3; Y is the hydrophilic part of the surfactant and m is 0, 1, 2 or 3, and X is the hydrophilic head group of the surfactant.

The viscosity of highly concentrated TiO_2 coating suspensions having a solids content of greater than 30% by weight can be greatly reduced by addition of from 1 to 10% by weight, preferably from 2 to 5% by weight, of surfactants of the formula $R_n Y_m X$.

5

20

In this formula, R is one or more hydrophobic parts, for example alkyl, aryl and alkylaryl groups, of a surfactant, where n is 1, 2 or 3, preferably 1 or 2. Y is the hydrophilic part of a surfactant, where m is 0, 1, 2 or 3, preferably from 0 to 2. X is the hydrophilic head group of the surfactant.

Preference is given to surfactants having head groups X selected from among phosphates, phosphonates, sulfates, sulfonates and carboxylates, dicarboxylates (malonic acid derivatives, succinic acid derivatives, adipic acid derivatives, maleic acid derivatives, phthalic acid derivatives) and polycarboxylates, for example polyacrylates, polymethacrylates or polymaleic acid derivatives substituted by surfactant radicals (R,Y).

In these head groups X, some of the acid radicals may be present in the H form as free acid groups, in the form of an ammonium salt or as a metal salt. Particular preference is given to free acid groups, ammonium salts and alkaline earth metal salts.

The hydrophilic group Y can be bound to the central atom of the head group X either directly or via an oxygen. Preferred central atoms are carbon, phosphorus and sulfur.

The hydrophobic groups R are preferably bound to the head group via a hydrophilic group Y.

Preferred embodiments of the hydrophobic parts R are alkyl radicals having relatively long-chain carbon building blocks with from 5 to 30 carbon atoms,

preferably from 10 to 20 carbon atoms. The alkyl radicals can be saturated or unsaturated or branched carbon chains. The alkyl radicals can be bound directly or via aryl groups to the hydrophilic part Y or the head group X.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

The hydrophilic radical Y generally comprises polymeric alkoxy units, preferably propoxy, ethoxy or methoxy units, with the degree of polymerization being able to be from 1 to 50 monomer units, preferably from 5 to 20 monomer units.

The coating suspension used according to the invention can comprise, for example, surfactants of the formula $R_n Y_m X$ selected from the group consisting of calcium alkylarylsulfonates, ammonium alkylarylsulfonates, calcium dodecylbenzenesulfonate, polyethoxy(dinonyl phenyl ether phosphate), . polyoxoethylene(lauryl ether phosphate), polyethoxyether phosphate), calcium dodecyl-(tridecyl tridecyl phosphate benzenesulfonate, esters, ethoxylated phosphated alcohols, alkyl polyoxyethylene ether phosphate, ammonium nonyl phenyl ether sulfate.

The surfactants can be used without addition of further surfactants or together with other surfactants, for example alkylphenol ethoxylate.

The addition according to the invention of the surfactants to the coating suspension allows low-viscosity coating suspensions having high solids contents of TiO_2 and/or V_2O_5 to be prepared and to be used for coating monolithic support material, for example honeycombs and supports having open or closed cross-channel structures. The coating suspensions may further comprise other additives, for example SiC. The solids contents of catalytically active composition in such suspensions can be set to values of up to 50% by weight and above. Such highly concentrated suspensions allow monolithic and, in particular, honeycomb catalyst supports coated with from 50 to 150 g of active

composition per liter of honeycomb catalyst to be obtained without problems in one coating step.

Suspensions having a solids content of ${\rm TiO_2}$ of greater than 35% by weight have, owing to the high viscosity, greatly reduced flow and can therefore no longer flow through narrow channels. Changing to larger particle sizes does not lead to success either. The addition of one or more of the surfactants claimed significantly improves flow.

The catalysts of the invention can be produced using uniform ${\rm TiO_2}$ grades or mixtures of various ${\rm TiO_2}$ grades, which may in turn be doped or coated with metal oxides. The active composition preferably comprises ${\rm V_2O_5}$ as additional component.

15

20

25

35

The coating of honeycombs with coating suspensions without addition of surfactants can be carried out without problems only using suspensions having a relatively low solids content of about 30% by weight. However, the amounts of active composition which can be applied in this way are only about 20 g/l of catalyst. If the solids content is slightly increased, the viscosity of the suspension increases so much that the suspension can no longer flow out of the honeycomb channels and blocking of the channels therefore results.

The use of the surfactants claimed enables the honeycombs to be coated without problems even using suspensions containing more than 50% by weight of active composition.

30 Applied amounts of over 100 g of solid/l of honeycomb catalyst can be achieved without problems in one coating step when using the surfactants claimed.

Examples of support materials suitable for coating by the process of the invention are materials such as cordierite, silicates, silicon dioxide, silicon carbide, aluminum oxide, aluminates or mixtures of these materials and metals or metal alloys. The support bodies can also have closed or open cross-channel

structures. The suspensions used according to the invention enable honeycombs having a high to very high cell density to be coated without the danger of blocking the channels.

Preference is given to honeycombs having a cell density, i.e. a number of channels, of from 100 to 400 csi (cells per square inch), particularly preferably from 100 to 200 csi.

Monolithic catalysts are very well suited to the selective oxidation of o-xylene/air mixtures having low o-xylene contents to give PA. The monolithic catalysts do not in any event have a tendency to produce a runaway reaction. Surprisingly, the monolithic catalysts are superior to the conventional ring catalyst (for the same active composition).

10

15

20

25

30

35

Catalysts produced according to the invention and having a content of active composition of from 40 to 200 g per liter of catalyst are particularly advantageous. At a comparable temperature, these achieve higher conversions, better PA selectivities and smaller amounts of by-products.

The honeycomb catalysts produced according to the invention are very useful as catalysts for after-reaction of a PA process gas comprising one or more of the starting materials o-xylene and naphthalene and/or intermediates such as tolualdehyde, phthalide, naphthoquinone, etc. This reaction is advantageously carried out at lower gas inlet temperatures, based on the temperature of the main reactor. In this afterreaction, a major part of the underoxidation products can be removed from the reaction gas and reacted further to form PA. Surprisingly, this also occurs at relatively high space velocities of $20,000-30,000 \, h^{-1}$. Even in the presence of relatively high contents of underoxidation products together with a concentration of PA, no runaway reaction occurs when using the catalysts of the invention.

The monolithic catalysts produced according to the invention are particularly suitable for preparing phthalic anhydride in an adiabatic reactor (after-reactor) in combination with an isothermally operated reactor (main reactor, for example filled with a bed of particulate catalyst).

The adiabatic reactor can also be operated advantageously with upstream gas cooling. In a particularly preferred embodiment, the upstream gas cooling and the adiabatic reaction are carried out in a joint apparatus.

In industry, it is customary to cool the reaction gas in a gas cooler before isolation of the product. The upstream gas cooling, the adiabatic reaction in the monolithic catalyst bed and further cooling can be carried out within the reactor or outside the reactor, or in a joint apparatus.

15

The invention is illustrated in more detail by the following examples.

20 The examples following demonstrate influence of surfactants from the group consisting of phosphoric esters on the viscosity of support oxide suspensions. The flow behavior of the suspensions prepared was determined by a method based on DIN 53211 using a flow cup. Two types of TiO_2 which differed from 25 one another only in the particle size were tested. The mean particle diameter was 0.1 and 0.4 μm, respectively. This measurement method was selected since it could appropriately simulate flow of a suspension out of the honeycomb channels. As outflow nozzle, use was 30 of а nozzle having а diameter of corresponding to a flow orifice area of 3.14 mm². For comparison, a 200 csi honeycomb has a channel cross section of 2.3 mm² and a 100 csi honeycomb has a channel cross section of 4.66 mm². If the viscosity of 35 the suspension was too high, i.e. the suspension could not flow through the nozzle under these conditions, the experiment was repeated using a nozzle opening of 4 mm

diameter. If no outflow of the suspension could be measured even under the altered conditions, the experiment was evaluated as "not measurable".

5 Comparative Example 1 (30% strength by weight suspension without surfactant):

A suspension was prepared from a mixture of 30 g of TiO_2 having a mean particle diameter of 0.1 μm and 70 g of water and was stirred for 2 hours. The flow was subsequently measured in the flow cup. At a nozzle diameter of 2 mm, the outflow rate was 1.4 ml/sec.

10

35

Comparative Example 2 (33% strength by weight suspension without surfactant):

A suspension was prepared from a mixture of 33~g of TiO_2 having a mean particle diameter of 0.1 μm and 67 g of water and was stirred for 2 hours. The flow was subsequently measured in the flow cup. At a nozzle diameter of 2 mm, no outflow was observed. At a nozzle diameter of 4 mm, the outflow rate was 6.2 ml/sec.

Comparative Example 3 (22% strength by weight suspension without surfactant):

A suspension was prepared from a mixture of 25 22 g of TiO_2 having a mean particle diameter of 0.4 μm and 78 g of water and was stirred for 2 hours. The flow was subsequently measured in the flow cup. At a nozzle diameter of 2 mm, the outflow rate was 1.7 ml/sec.

30 Comparative Example 4 (25% strength by weight suspension without surfactant):

A suspension was prepared from a mixture of 25~g of TiO_2 having a mean particle diameter of $0.4~\mu m$ and 75~g of water and was stirred for 2 hours. The flow was subsequently measured in the flow cup. At a nozzle diameter of 2 mm, no outflow was observed. At a nozzle diameter of 4 mm, the outflow rate was 8.3~ml/sec.

Example 5 (45% strength by weight suspension):

5

20

30

A suspension was prepared from a mixture of 45 g of TiO_2 having a mean particle diameter of 0.1 μ m, 55 g of water and 3.5 g of alkyl(C_8-C_{10})polyoxyethylene ether phosphate and was stirred for 2 hours. The flow was subsequently measured in the flow cup. At a nozzle diameter of 2 mm, the outflow rate was 0.9 ml/sec.

Example 6 (45% strength by weight suspension):

A suspension was prepared from a mixture of 45 g of TiO_2 having a mean particle diameter of 0.4 μm , 55 g of water and 3.0 g of alkyl(C_8-C_{10})polyoxyethylene ether phosphate and was stirred for 2 hours. The flow was subsequently measured in the flow cup. At a nozzle diameter of 2 mm, the outflow rate was 2.0 ml/sec.

Example 7 (45% strength by weight suspension):

A suspension was prepared from a mixture of 45~g of TiO_2 having a mean particle diameter of 0.4 μm , 55~g of water and 5.0 g of polyethoxydinonylphenyl ether phosphate and was stirred for 2 hours. The flow was subsequently measured in the flow cup. At a nozzle diameter of 2 mm, the outflow rate was 1.2 ml/sec.

25 Example 8 (45% strength by weight suspension):

A suspension was prepared from a mixture of 45~g of TiO_2 having a mean particle diameter of 0.4 μm , 55~g of water and 2.0 g of a mixture of alkylphenol ethoxylate/calcium alkylarylsulfonate and was stirred for 2 hours. The flow was subsequently measured in the flow cup. At a nozzle diameter of 2 mm, the outflow rate was 1.3 ml/sec.

Example 9 (45% strength by weight suspension):

A suspension was prepared from a mixture of 45 g of TiO_2 having a mean particle diameter of 0.1 μm , 55 g of water and 5.0 g of calcium dodecylbenzenesulfonate and was stirred for 2 hours. The flow was

subsequently measured in the flow cup. At a nozzle diameter of 2 mm, the outflow rate was $0.5\ \text{ml/sec.}$

Example 10 (45% strength by weight suspension):

A suspension was prepared from a mixture of 45~g of TiO_2 having a mean particle diameter of 0.4 μm , 55~g of water and 2.5 g of ammonium nonyl phenyl ether sulfate and was stirred for 2 hours. The flow was subsequently measured in the flow cup. At a nozzle diameter of 2 mm, the outflow rate was 1.8 ml/sec.

Comparative Example 11:

A suspension was prepared from a mixture of 38~g of TiO_2 having a mean particle diameter of $0.4~\mu m$, 9.5~g of V_2O_5 and 46.8~g of water and was stirred for 2 hours. The flow was subsequently measured in the flow cup. The outflow rate could be measured neither using a 2 mm nozzle opening nor a 4 mm nozzle opening, since the viscosity of the suspension was too high in both cases.

Example 12:

5

10

15

20

A suspension was prepared from a mixture of 38~g of TiO_2 having a mean particle diameter of $0.4~\mu m$, 9.5~g of V_2O_5 , 46.8~g of water and 3~g of alkyl(C_8-c_{10})polyoxyethylene ether phosphate and was stirred for 2 hours. The flow was subsequently measured in the flow cup. At a nozzle diameter of 2 mm, the outflow rate was 1.3~ml/sec.

Examples 13 to 17 below demonstrate the production of catalysts by way of coating experiments on appropriate monolithic support bodies.

Comparative Example 13 (without addition of 35 surfactant):

354~g of TiO_2 (BET about 30 $m^2/g)$ having a mean particle diameter of 0.1 μm , 118 g of TiO_2 (BET < 10 $m^2/g)$ having a mean particle diameter of

0.4 μm , 120 g of V_2O_5 and 8.24 g of $(NH_4)_2HPO_4$ were suspended in 1400 ml of deionized water and stirred for 18 hours to achieve homogeneous dispersion. The solids content of the suspension obtained was 29.6% by weight. 60 g of organic binder, namely a copolymer of vinyl 5 acetate and vinyl laurate, in the form of strength by weight aqueous dispersion were then added. honeycomb made monolithic ceramic support cordierite and having a cell density of 200 cpsi and dimensions of 7.5 cm \times 7.5 cm \times 15 cm was then dipped 10 into this coating suspension and taken from the dipping bath after about 1 minute. The residues of suspension present in the channels were blown out using an air blower (at not more than 130°C). Complete drying of the coated honeycomb was carried out in a drying oven at 15 130°C for 12 hours. The amount of active composition applied was 20 g/l of catalyst. The honeycomb was just able to be coated.

20 Comparative Example 14 (without addition of surfactant):

25

30

35

To produce a catalyst coated with a larger amount of active composition, 354 g of TiO2 (BET about 30 cm²/g) having a mean particle diameter of 0.1 μ m, 118 g of TiO_2 (BET < 10 m^2/g) having a mean particle $0.4~\mu m$, 120~g of V_2O_5 and 8.24~g of of $(NH_4)_2HPO_4$ were suspended in 1220 ml of deionized water to achieve homogeneous stirred for 18 hours dispersion. The solids content of the suspension obtained was 32.7% by weight. 60 g of organic binder, namely a copolymer of vinyl acetate and vinyl laurate, in the form of a 50% strength by weight aqueous dispersion were then added. Using this suspension, a monolithic ceramic support honeycomb made of cordierite and having a cell density of 200 cpsi and dimensions of 7.5 cm \times 7.5 cm \times 15 cm was coated using a method analogous to Example 15. In this example, the suspension was so highly viscous that the channels could not be freed completely of excess suspension even with the aid of the blower. About 10% of the channels remained blocked. The coated honeycomb could not be used as a catalyst.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

Comparative Example 15 (Coating of rings):

To produce a ring-shaped comparative catalyst, 73.7 g of TiO₂ (BET about 30 m²/g) having a mean particle diameter of 0.1 μ m, 24.6 g of TiO₂ (BET < 10 m²/g) having a mean particle diameter of 0.4 μ m, 25 g of V₂O₅ and 1.7 g of (NH₄)₂HPO₄ were suspended in 400 ml of deionized water and stirred for 18 hours to achieve homogeneous dispersion. 6.2 g of organic binder, namely a copolymer of vinyl acetate and vinyl laurate, in the form of a 50% strength by weight aqueous dispersion were then added. All of this coating suspension was applied to 1225 g of steatite rings (7 × 7 × 4 mm) as support material with evaporation of the water. The layer thickness of active composition was about 60 μ m.

Example 16 (Catalyst 1 according to the invention):

602 g of TiO_2 (BET about 30 m^2/g) having a mean of $0.1 \mu m$, 200 q particle diameter $(BET < 10 \text{ m}^2/\text{g})$ having a mean particle diameter of 204 a of $V_{2}O_{5}$ and alkyl (C_8-C_{10}) polyoxyethylene ether phosphate suspended in 980 ml of deionized water and stirred for 18 hours to achieve homogeneous dispersion. The solids content of the suspension obtained was 51% by weight. 60 g of organic binder, namely a copolymer of vinyl acetate and vinyl laurate, in the form of a 50% strength by weight aqueous dispersion were then added. Using this coating suspension, a monolithic ceramic support honeycomb made of cordierite and having a cell of 200 cpsi and dimensions density $7.5 \text{ cm} \times 7.5 \text{ cm} \times 15 \text{ cm}$ was coated using analogous to Example 15. Due to the viscosity-lowering

action of the surfactant, the suspension not adhering to the wall flowed completely out of the channels without problems. Owing to the high solids content of the suspension used, 115 g of active composition per liter of catalyst could be applied in a single coating step. No channels of the honeycomb were blocked with active composition. The layer thickness of active composition was about 60 μm .

10 Example 17 (Catalyst 2 according to the invention):

5

15

20

25

30

35

602 g of TiO_2 (BET about 30 m^2/g) having a mean particle diameter of 0.1 μm , 220 g of V_2O_5 and 120 g of calcium dodecylbenzenesulfonate were suspended 885 ml of deionized water and stirred for 18 hours to achieve homogeneous dispersion. The solids content of the suspension obtained was 50% by weight. 60 g of organic binder, namely a copolymer of vinyl acetate and vinyl laurate, in the form of a 50% strength by weight aqueous dispersion were then added. Using this coating suspension, a monolithic ceramic support honeycomb made of cordierite and having a cell density of 200 cpsi and dimensions of $7.5~\mathrm{cm}\times7.5~\mathrm{cm}\times15~\mathrm{cm}$ was coated using a method analogous to Example 15. Due to the viscositylowering action of the surfactant, the suspension not adhering to the wall flowed completely out of the channels without problems. Owing to the high solids the suspension used, 108 g of content of composition per liter of catalyst could be applied in a single coating step. No channels of the honeycomb were blocked with active composition.

Example 18 (Catalyst 3 according to the invention):

To produce a catalyst based on a TiO_2 having a larger mean particle diameter, 785 g of TiO_2 (BET about 30 m²/g) having a mean particle diameter of 0.4 μ m, 196 g of V_2O_5 and 46 g of alkyl(C_8-C_{10})polyoxyethylene ether phosphate were suspended in 910 ml of deionized water and stirred for 18 hours to achieve homogeneous

solids content dispersion. The of the suspension obtained was 52% by weight. 60 g of organic binder, namely a copolymer of vinyl acetate and vinyl laurate, the form of a 50% strength by weight aqueous dispersion were then added. Using this suspension, a monolithic ceramic support honeycomb made of cordierite and having a cell density of 200 cpsi and dimensions of $7.5~\text{cm}\times7.5~\text{cm}\times15~\text{cm}$ was coated using a method analogous to Example 15. Due to the viscositylowering action of the surfactant, the suspension not adhering to the wall flowed completely out of the channels without problems. Owing to the high solids 97 q of suspension used, of content the composition per liter of catalyst could be applied in a single coating step. No channels of the honeycomb were blocked with active composition.

5

10

15

Example 19 (Oxidation of o-xylene/air mixtures having a low o-xylene concentration):

the catalytic properties, 20 examine catalysts were tested for suitability in (by way of example) the oxidation of o-xylene and compared to a conventional catalyst produced according to the prior art (ring-shaped catalysts). The test apparatus used comprised an adiabatic, i.e. uncooled, insulated 25 reactor. The reactor was constructed so that it could be charged both with catalyst rings and with a honeycomb catalyst according to the invention. An air preheater by means of which the o-xylene/air mixture could be heated to 300-360°C was installed upstream of 30 the reactor. The cross-sectional inflow area of the catalyst was 19.6 cm2 and the catalyst fill height was 10.2 cm. The experiments were carried out at a space velocity of $20,000 \, h^{-1}$. The concentration of o-xylene in the gas mixture at the reactor inlet was in the range 35 $600 \text{ mg/standard} \text{ m}^3$. to The o-xvlene from 500 by concentration was measured means of chromatography and also an on-line FID detector. The

reaction gas leaving the reactor was collected in acetone and the constituents were determined quantitatively by means of gas chromatography. The content of carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide in the outlet gas from the reactor was determined directly by means of infrared measurement.

The catalysts from Comparative Example 13 and from Example 16, and also a ring-shaped catalyst (Example 15) as further comparison, were tested using the above-described apparatus. The active compositions of all three catalysts had the same chemical composition.

10

The test results are summarized in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Catalyst tests using low-concentration

| o-xylene/air mixtures | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------|----------------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Comparative | Honeycomb | Honeycomb catalyst | | | | |
| | catalyst on | catalyst with | according to the | | | | |
| | rings | 20 g of active | invention with 112 g | | | | |
| | (Example 15) | composition/l | of active | | | | |
| | | of catalyst | composition/l of | | | | |
| | | (Example 13) | catalyst | | | | |
| | | | (Example 16) | | | | |
| Temperature at | 340°C | 340°C | 340°C | | | | |
| gas inlet | | | | | | | |
| o-xylene | 56 | 82 | 95 | | | | |
| conversion | | | | | | | |
| /mol% | | | | | | | |
| PA selectivity/ | 41 | 62 | 67 | | | | |
| mol% | | | | | | | |
| O-tolualdehyde/ | 22 | 42 | 12 | | | | |
| mg/Standard m³ | | | | | | | |
| Phthalide | 20 | 36 | 14 | | | | |
| mg/Standard m ³ | | | | | | | |

Example 20 (Suitability of the monolithic catalysts produced according to the invention for (by way of example) the after-reaction of PA process gas from o-xylene oxidation in a post-reactor):

apparatus The test used (post-reactor) comprised an adiabatically operated (well insulated) reaction tube in which the monolithic catalyst of the invention from Example 18 was installed. The postreactor was installed downstream of a customary PA pilot reactor (main reactor). The gas line between main reactor and post-reactor could be thermostatted so that variable gas inlet temperatures into the post-reactor were possible. Gas sampling points were installed before the inlet and at the outlet of the post-reactor. Furthermore, the reaction gas could be cooled in a condenser (desublimator) either after leaving the main reactor or after leaving the post-reactor and the PA

10

15

20

formed could be deposited or isolated. The main PA reactor was 3.3 m long and had a tube diameter of 25 mm. The temperature of the reactor was regulated using a circulated salt bath (eutectic melt potassium nitrate and sodium nitrite). The amount of air fed in was always 4 standard m^3/h . The main reactor was charged with a commercial PA catalyst and the catalyst fill height was 2.8 m. The salt bath temperatures were selected so that the gas mixture leaving the reactor still had comparatively high contents of unreacted o-xylene and underoxidation products such as phthalide and tolualdehyde. o-xylene loading upstream of the reactor was constant at 70 g/Standard m³ of gas during the experiments. The air/o-xylene mixture was preheated to 180°C before entering the main reactor.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

The reaction gas leaving the main reactor was brought to the desired temperature by means of thermostatting and passed through the post-reactor containing the monolithic catalyst. The amount of monolithic catalyst was selected so that a space velocity of $20,000\ h^{-1}$ resulted.

The reaction gas leaving the post-reactor was subsequently passed through a desublimator in order to deposit the reaction products PA, phthalide, etc.

In order to be able to assess the efficiency of the post-reactor in respect of degradation or further oxidation of the by-products, part of the PA reaction gas both before and after the post-reactor was analyzed by scrubbing the gas in acetone and subsequently determining the o-xylene, phthalide and tolualdehyde contents by gas chromatography. The CO and CO₂ contents in the reaction gas before and after the post-reactor were measured by IR spectroscopy. The PA yield was, as already mentioned, determined by means of deposition in a desublimator or calculated via a mass balance.

The results of the experiments are shown in Table 2 below.

Table 2: Results of the oxidation experiments on PA reaction gas

| reaction gas | | | | | | |
|----------------|------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|-------|--|
| | Contents* | Contents* in % by weight after | | | | |
| | before/without | post-reactor with catalyst from | | | | |
| | post-reactor | | Ex. 18 at various gas inlet | | | |
| | | | temperatures | | | |
| | | 360°C | 340°C | 320°C | 300°C | |
| Phthalide | 0.48% by weight | 0.005 | 0.014 | 0.041 | 0.101 | |
| o-Xylene | 0.25% by weight | 0 | 0.004 | 0.022 | 0.081 | |
| p-Benzoquinone | 0.04% by weight | 0.012 | 0.015 | 0.019 | 0.022 | |
| o-Tolualdehyde | 0.21% by weight | 0 | 0.004 | 0.011 | 0.026 | |
| PA yield | 111.0% by weight | 111.3 | 111.5 | 111.8 | 112.2 | |

^{*} Based on the total organic constituents in the reaction gas.

5

15

20

25

The results in Table 2 show that a major part of the underoxidation products can be removed from the reaction gas and oxidized further to PA by using the monolithic catalysts of the invention in a downstream adiabatic reactor (post-reactor) in the PA process. Surprisingly, this also takes place at relatively high space velocities. To achieve the best yield, the afterreaction is advantageously carried out at low gas inlet temperatures. The optimum reaction conditions are a compromise between yield and amount of by-products.

Example 21: (Suitability of the monolithic catalysts produced according to the invention for (by way of example) the after-reaction of PA process gas from naphthalene oxidation in a post-reactor):

The experiment was carried out using a method analogous to Example 20, except that the main reactor was charged with a commercial PA catalyst suitable for naphthalene oxidation and was supplied with a naphthalene/air mixture. The monolithic catalyst of the invention from Example 18 was installed in the post-reactor. In this example too, the salt bath temperature

in the main reactor was selected so that the gas mixture leaving the reactor still had comparatively high contents of unreacted naphthalene and the byproduct naphthoquinone. The naphthalene loading of the feed to the main reactor was a constant $70 \text{ g/Standard m}^3$ at 4 standard m^3 of gas/h during the experiment.

The results of the experiment are shown in Table 3 below.

10

5

Table 3: Results of the oxidation experiments on PA reaction gas from naphthalene oxidation

| | - com maphiona - com | | |
|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|--|
| | Contents* | Contents* after post- | |
| | before/without | reactor with catalyst fro | |
| | post-reactor | Ex. 18 at a gas inlet | |
| | | temperature of 350°C | |
| Naphthalene. | 0.12% by weight | 0.02% by weight | |
| Naphthoquinone | 0.54% by weight | 0.06% by weight | |
| PA yield | 99.7% by weight | 100.3% by weight | |

^{*} Based on the total organic constituents in the reaction gas.

15

20

The results in Table 3 show that, in the PA process using naphthalene as feed, a major part of the residual naphthalene and the by-product naphthoquinone can be removed from the reaction gas and oxidized further to PA by using the monolithic catalysts of the invention in a downstream adiabatic reactor (post-reactor).

531 Rec'd PC 0 3 JAN 2002

WO 01/03832

Claims:

1. A process for producing monolithic supported catalysts for gas-phase oxidation by coating the catalyst support by means of a suspension, wherein the latter comprises catalytically active composition and one or more surfactants of the formula

$R_n Y_m X$

where R is the hydrophobic part(s) of the surfactant and n is 1, 2 or 3; Y is the hydrophilic part of the surfactant and m is 0, 1, 2 or 3, and X is the hydrophilic head group of the surfactant.

- 2. The process as claimed in claim 1, wherein the surfactant content is from 1 to 10% by weight.
- 3. The process as claimed in claim 1 or 2, wherein the head groups X present in the surfactants are functional groups selected from among carboxylates, polycarboxylates, phosphates, phosphonates, sulfates and sulfonates.
- 4. The process as claimed in claim 3, wherein all or some of the functional groups of the head group X are present as free acid groups, ammonium salts or alkaline earth metal salts.
- 5. The process as claimed in any of claims 1 to 4, wherein the hydrophilic group Y is bound to the central atom of the head group X either directly or via an oxygen.
- 6. The process as claimed in any of claims 1 to 5, wherein the hydrophobic groups R of the surfactants used are bound to the head group via a hydrophilic group Y.
- 7. The process as claimed in any of claims 1 to 6, wherein the hydrophobic parts R of the surfactants used are saturated, unsaturated or branched alkyl radicals with carbon building blocks having from 5 to 30 carbon atoms and are bound either directly or via aryl groups to the hydrophilic part Y or the head group X.

- 8. The process as claimed in any of claims 1 to 7, wherein the hydrophilic radicals Y of the surfactants used comprise polymeric alkoxy units whose degree of polymerization is from 1 to 50 monomer units.
- The process as claimed in any of claims 1 to 8, wherein one or more surfactants selected from the group consisting of calcium alkylarylsulfonates, alkylphenol ammonium alkylarylsulfonates, calcium ethoxylates, dodecylbenzenesulfonate, polyethoxy(dinonyl phenyl phosphate), polyoxoethylene(lauryl polyethoxy(tridecyl ether phosphate), phosphate), calcium dodecylbenzenesulfonate, tridecyl phosphate alcohols, esters, ethoxylated phosphated polyoxyethylene ether phosphate, ammonium nonyl phenyl ether sulfate, are used.
- 10. The process as claimed in any of claims 1 to 9, wherein the catalytically active composition is produced from one or more types of TiO_2 .
- 11. The process as claimed in claim 10, wherein the catalytically active composition further comprises V_2O_5 as additional component.
- 12. The process as claimed in claim 10 or 11, wherein the catalytically active composition comprises promoters.
- 13. The process as claimed in any of claims 1 to 12, wherein the catalyst supports used are one or more materials selected from the group consisting of cordierite, silicates, silicon dioxide, silicon carbide, aluminum oxide, aluminates, metals or metal alloys.
- 14. The process as claimed in any of claims 1 to 13, wherein the catalyst support bodies used are honeycombs or supports having open and/or closed cross-channel structures.
- 15. The process as claimed in claim 14, wherein the catalyst support bodies used are honeycombs having a cell density, i.e. a number of channels, of from 100 to 400 csi (cells per square inch).

- 16. The use of a monolithic supported catalyst obtainable by the process as claimed in any of claims 1 to 15 in an adiabatic reactor in combination with an isothermally operated reactor for preparing phthalic anhydride from o-xylene.
- 17. The use of a monolithic supported catalyst obtainable by the process as claimed in any of claims 1 to 15 in an adiabatic reactor in combination with an isothermally operated reactor for preparing phthalic anhydride from naphthalene.
- 18. The use of a monolithic supported catalyst obtainable by the process as claimed in any of claims 1 to 15 in an adiabatic reactor in combination with an isothermally operated reactor for preparing phthalic anhydride from o-xylene/naphthalene mixtures.
- 19. The use of a monolithic supported catalyst as claimed in any of claims 16 to 18 in an adiabatic reactor having upstream gas cooling in combination with an isothermally operated reactor.
- 20. The use of a monolithic supported catalyst as claimed in any of claims 16 to 19 in an adiabatic reactor having upstream gas cooling, where gas cooling and the reaction are carried out in a joint apparatus, in combination with an isothermally operated reactor.
- 21. The use of a monolithic supported catalyst as claimed in any of claims 16 to 20 in an adiabatic reactor having upstream gas cooling and downstream gas cooling, where gas cooling and the reaction are carried out in a joint apparatus, in combination with an isothermally operated reactor.
- 22. A catalyst obtainable by coating the catalyst support by means of a suspension, wherein the latter comprises catalytically active composition and one or more surfactants of the formula

$R_n Y_m X$

where R is the hydrophobic part(s) of the surfactant and n is 1, 2 or 3; Y is the hydrophilic part of the

surfactant and m is 0, 1, 2 or 3, and X is the hydrophilic head group of the surfactant.

(12) NACH DEM VERTR JBER DIE INTERNATIONALE ZUSAMMET JEIT AUF DEM GEBIET DES PATENTWESENS (PCT) VERÖFFENTLICHTE INTERNATIONALE ANMELDUNG

(19) Weltorganisation für geistiges Eigentum Internationales Büro



(43) Internationales Veröffentlichungsdatum 18. Januar 2001 (18.01.2001)

PCT

(10) Internationale Veröffentlichungsnummer WO 01/03832 A1

Karl-Heinz [DE/DE]; Schmittgasse 5, D-53844 Trois-

dorf/Bergheim (DE). TRINKHAUS, Stefan [DE/DE]; Guldernstr. 40 a, D-80339 Munchen (DE). WECKER, Ulrich [DE/DE]; Hauptstr. 13 a, D-82549 Eurasburg

(DE). ZELPLER, Norbert [DE/DE]; Ganghoferstrasse

(51) Internationale Patentklassifikation7: C07C 51/25

B01J 37/02,

PCT/EP00/05519

(21) Internationales Aktenzeichen:(22) Internationales Anmeldedatum:

15. Juni 2000 (15.06.2000)

(25) Einreichungssprache:

Deutsch

74) Anwälte: SCHUDERER, Michael usw.; Wacker-Chemie GmbH, Zentralbereich PML, Hanns-Seidel-Platz 4, D-81737 Munchen (DE).

(26) Veröffentlichungssprache:

Deutsch

(81) Bestimmungsstaaten (national): BR, CN, JP, KR, US.

(30) Angaben zur Priorität:

199 31 902.2

8. Juli 1999 (08.07.1999) DE

(84) Bestimmungsstaaten (regional): europäisches Patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).

(71) Anmelder (fur alle Bestimmurgsstaaten mit Ausnahme von US): CONSORTIUM FÜR ELEKTROCHEMISCHE INDUSTRIE GMBH [DE/DE]; Zielstattstr. 20, D-81379 München (DE).

Veröffentlicht:

— Mit internationalem Recherchenbericht

21′, **p-80**339 München (DE).

 Vor Ablauf der fur Anderungen der Anspruche geltenden Frist: Veroffentlichung wird wiederholt, falls Anderungen eintreffen.

(72) Erfinder; und

(75) Erfinder/Anmelder (nur fur US) EBERLE, Hans-Jürgen [DE/DE]; Alfred-Kubin-Weg 44, D-81477 Munchen (DE). HELMER, Olaf [DE/DE]; Hoglworther Strasse 351, D-81379 Munchen (DE). STOCKSIEFEN,

Zur Erklarung der Zweibuchstaben-Codes, und der anderen Abkurzungen wird auf die Erklarungen ("Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations") am Anfang jeder regularen Ausgabe der PCT-Gazette verwiesen

(54) Title: METHOD OF PRODUCING MONOLITHIC OXIDATION CATALYSTS AND THEIR USE IN GAS PHASE OXIDATION OF CARBOHYDRATES

(54) Bezeichnung: VERFAHREN ZUR HERSTELLUNG VON MONOLITHISCHEN OXIDATIONSKATALYSATOREN UND DEREN VERWENDUNG BEI DER GASPHASENOXIDATION VON KOHLENWASSERSTOFFEN

(57) Abstract: The invention relates to a method of producing monolithic substrate catalysts and to their use in the gas phase oxidation of carbohydrates. Said catalysts are obtained by coating the catalyst substrate with a suspension that consists of a catalytically active compound and one or more surfactants of the general formula R_nY_mX . R represents the hydrophobic part of the surfactant, with n being 1, 2 or 3. Y represents the hydrophilic part of the surfactant, with m being 0, 1, 2 or 3 and X represents the hydrophilic head group of the surfactant.

(57) Zusammenfassung: Die Erfindung betrifft ein Verfahren zur Herstellung und die Verwendung von monolithischen Trägerkatalysatoren für die Gasphasenoxidation durch Beschichtung des Katalysatorträgers mit einer Suspension die aus katalytisch aktiver Masse und einem oder mehreren Tensiden der allgemeinen Formel $R_n Y_m X$ besteht. R steht dabei für den hydrophoben Teil des Tensides mit n gleich 1, 2 oder 3; Y für den hydrophilen Teil des Tensides mit m gleich 0, 1, 2 oder 3 und X für die hydrophile Kopfgruppe des Tensides.



01/03837

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name,

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

PROCESS FOR PRODUCING MONOLITHIC OXIDATION CATALYSTS AND THEIR USE IN THE GAS-PHASE OXIDATION OF HYDROCARBONS

| the specification | of which (check only one item below); | |
|-------------------|--|------------------|
| [] | is attached hereto. | |
| 1 1 | was filed as Unuted States application | |
| | Serial No. | |
| | on | |
| | and was amended | |
| | on | (if applicable). |
| [x] | was filed as PCT international application | |
| | Number <u>PCT/EP00/05519</u> | |
| | on June 15, 2000 | |
| | and was amended under PCT Article 19 | |
| | on | (if applicable). |
| | | |

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56(a).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, §119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate or of any PCT international application(s) designating at least one country other than the United States of America listed below and have also identified below any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate or any PCT international application(s) designating at least one country other than the United States of America filed by me on the same subject matter having a filing date before that of the application(s) of which priority is claimed:

| COUNTRY (if PCT. indicate "PCT") | APPLICATION NUMBER | DATE OF FILING (day, month, year) | PRIORITY CLAIMED UNDER 35 USC 119 |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Germiuny | 199 31 902.2 | 8 July 1999 | [X] YES []NO |
| | | | []YES []NO |
| | | | []YES []NO |
| | | | []YES []NO |
| | | | I I YES I I NO |

| . 1 | _(incl | udes Retierence to PCT In | nternational Applications) | | | SOCIAL EBER | LE ET AL -4 (PCT) | |
|--------|----------------|---|--|---|---|--|---|--|
| • | I he | | efit under Title 35, Unite | ed States Code, S | Section 119(e) of any | United States provi | isional application(s) listed | |
| | Ame by th | rica that is/are listed belov ic tirst paragraph of Title | er Title 35, United States Code, wand, insofar as the subject matte | er of each of the claim I acknowledge the du | s of this application is not of ty to disclose material info | isclose in that/those prior apermation as defined in Title | n(s) designating the United States of pplication(s) in the manner provided 37. Code of Federal Regulations, ication: | |
| | PRIC | OR () S. APPLICATION | S OR PCT INTERNATIONAL | L APPLICATIONS I | DESIGNATING THE U.S | FOR BENEFIT UNDER | (35 U.S.C. 120: | |
| | | | U.S. APPLICATIONS | | | STATUS (Ch | | |
| | U.S | APPLICATION NUMBER | U.S. FILIS | NC DATE | PATENT | ED PENDIN | DBNOONBD DI | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | PCT | APPLICATIONS DESIGNATING THE | បុន | | | | |
| | P | CT APPLICATION NO. | PCT PILING DATE | U S SERIAL NUM ASSIGNED (LE | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | | | | | | nd transpact all business in the Patent | |
| | AL ED EL | LISON C. COLLA WARD R. FREED IZABETH COLLA | nted therewith. (List name and r RD, Registration No. 22 MAN, Registration No. 2 RD RICHTER, Registration COLLARD & ROE, 1077 Northern Boul | ,532; 26,048; tion No. 35,103 , P.C. | FREDERIC WILLIAM | C. COLLARD, Regi | egistration No. 29,298 stration No. 38,411 | |
| | 2 | FULL NAME OF INVENTOR | Roslyn, New York I | 11576 | FIRST GIVEN NAME HANS-JÜRGEN | | SECOND GIVEN NAME | |
| | 0 | residence & Citizenship | cmy D-81477 MÜNCHEN | | STATE OR FORBIGN COUNTY GERMANY | TEV. | COUNTRY OF CITIZENSHIP GERMANY | |
| W/ | 1 | POST OFFICE ADDRESS | POST OFFICE ADDRESS ALFRED-KUBIN-WE | EG 44 | crry D-81477 MÜNCH | EN | STATE & ZIP CODE/COUNTRY GERMANY SECOND GIVEN NAME | |
| | 2 | FULL NAME OF INVENTOR | FAMILY NAME HELMER | | PIRST GIVEN NAME OLAF | | | |
| (Z) | 0 | residence & Citizenship | CITY D-81379 MÜNCHEN | • | STATE OR FOREIGN COUNT | DEL | COUNTRY OF CITIZENSHIP GERMANY | |
| 2 | 2 | POST OFFICE ADDRESS | POST OPFICE ADDRESS HÖGLWORTHER ST | TRASSE 351 | спу D-81379 MÜNCH | EN | GERMANY | |
| | 2 | FULL NAME OF INVENTOR | | | | | SECOND GIVEN NAME | |
| \sim | 0 | RESIDENCE & CITIZENSHIP | orry D-53844/TROISDORE | F/BERGHEIM | STATE OR FOREIGN CTION GERMANY | DEX | COUNTRY OF CITIZENSHIP GERMANY | |
| 30 | 3 | POST OFFICE ADDRESS | POST OFFICE ADDRESS SCHMITTGASSE 5 | | D-53844/TROISD | ORF/BERGHEIM | STATE & ZIP CODS/COUNTRY GERMANY | |
| | I he | I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by | | | | | | |

line or imprisonment, or both, under section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the

| validity of the application or any patent issuing | thereon. | |
|---|----------------------------|---------------------|
| SIGNATURE OF INVENTOR 401 | SIGNATURE OF INVENTOR 20%, | SIGNATURE OF INVENT |
| beh | Martiel | 1 Hittis |
| 3. Dec. 200 | 412.0-1 | 14.1.52 |

PTY) (30) (REV (DRS))
R. CLONGO EVERP-ERERLE-col-4-COMBDEC-9INV, wpd 30-NOV-2001 07:26

Page 2 of 3

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF CUMMERCE Potent and Trademark Office S.04

| . (| | UI- INVENTUR | TRINKHAUS | | STEFAN | E I tollo m | The state of the s |
|-----|-----------|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|
| | 0 | residence & cmzenrhip | спу D-80339 MŪNCH | EN | STATE OR FOREIGN COUNTRY GERMANY | | COUNTRY OF CITIZENSHIP GERMANY |
| | 4 | POST OFFICE ADDRESS | POST OPPICE ADDRESS GULDEINSTR, 40 |) a | crry D-80339 MÜNCHEN | / | STATE & ZIP CODE/COUNTRY GERMANY |
| | 2 | FULL NAME OF INVENTOR | FAMILY NAME WECKER | | PIRST GIVEN NAME ULRICH | | second given name |
| 3 | 0 | residence & Citizenship | D-82547 EURASE | URG | STATE OR FOREIGN COUPERY GERMANY | | COUNTRY OF CITIZENSHIP GERMANY |
| Į | 5 | POST OFFICE ADDRESS | POST OFFICE ADDRESS HAUPTSTR 13 a | | orry D-82547 EURASBURG | G | STATE & ZIP CODE/COUNTRY GERMANY |
| | 2 | PULI, NAMB OF INVENTOR | PAMILY NAME ZEITLER | | FIRST GIVEN NAME NORBERT | | SECOND GIVEN NAME |
| اده | 0 | Residence & Citizenship | оту D-80339 MÚNCHI | EN | STATE OR FOREIGN COUNTRY GERMANY | X | COUNTRY OF CITIZENSHIP GERMANY |
| | б | POST OFFICE ADDRESS | POST OFFICE ADDRESS GANGHOFERSTR | 21 | crty D-80339 MÜNCHEN | | STATE & ZIP CODE/COUNTRY GERMANY |
| | 2 | FULL NAME OF INVENTOR | FAMILY NAME | | Pirst givên namb | | SECOND GIVEN NAME |
| | 0 | RESIDENCE & CITIZENSHIP | спу | | STATE OR FOREIGN COUNTRY | | COUNTRY OF CITIZENSHIP |
| | 7 | POST OFFICE ADDRESS | POST OFFICE ADDRESS | , | спү | | STATE & ZIP CODE/COUNTRY |
| | 2 | FULL NAME OF INVENTOR | FAMILY NAME | FAMILY NAME | | FIRST GIVEN NAME | |
| | 0 | RESIDENCE & CITIZENSHIP | слту | | STATE OR FOREIGN COUNTRY CITY FIRST GIVEN NAME STATE OR FOREIGN COUNTRY CITY | | CUUNTRY OF CITIZENSHIP |
| | 8 | POST OFFICE ADDRESS | POST OFFICE ADDRESS | | | | STATE & ZIP CODE/COUNTRY |
| | 2 | Full name of inventor | FAMILY NAME | | | | second given name |
| | 0 | RESIDENCE & CITIZENSHIP | сттү | | | | COUNTRY OF CITIZENSHIP |
| | 9 | POST OFFICE ADDRESS | POST OFFICE ADDRESS | | | | STATE & ZIP CUDE/COUNTRY |
| | | belief are belie the like so mad | eved to be true; and furth ie are pum shable by find | er that these statemer e or imprisonment, or | mowledge are true and that a its were made with the know both, under section 1001 of ty of the application or any | dedge that willfi Title 18 of the Ui | ul false statements and mited States Code, and |
| | SIGN | SIGNATURE OF INVENTOR 104 | | SIGNATURE OF INVENTOR 205 | | SIGNATURE OF INVENTOR 206 | |
| | DATI | yène 10 | ien | DATE | 4 | DATE | fill. |
| | 5.12 2001 | | 21 | 17.12.01 | | 1 21.12. 2001 | |
| | SIGN | ATURE OF INVENTOR 207 | | SKINATURE OF INVENTO | R 208 | SIGNATURE OF IN | ventor 20% |
| | DAT | E C | | DATE | | DATE | |

PT() (39) (RBV, 10/83) R:\C+ellenE\BFR-8BERLE-cal-4-COMBDEC-9INV.wpg

Page 3 of 3

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Tradermark Office